# **Queries for Author**

### Journal: British Journal of Ophthalmology Paper: bj150532 Title:

The proof of your manuscript appears on the following page(s).

Please note that this is a galley proof and the layout of the article may change before publication. Please read the manuscript carefully, checking for accuracy, verifying the reference order and double-checking figures and tables. When reviewing your page proof please keep in mind that a professional copyeditor edited your manuscript to comply with the style requirements of the journal. This is not an opportunity to alter, amend or revise your paper; it is intended to be for correction purposes only.

During the preparation of your manuscript for publication, the questions listed below have arisen (the query number can also be found in the gutter close to the text it refers to). Please attend to these matters and return the answers to these questions when you return your corrections.

# Please note, we will not be able to proceed with your article and publish it in print if these queries have not been addressed.

Query Reference	Query
1	"previous history of orbital surgery, inflammation of trauma, to measure" does not make sense. Please check and reword.

If you are happy with the proof as it stands, please email to confirm this. Changes that do not require a copy of the proof can be sent by email (please be as specific as possible).

Email: paul@paulsen.demon.co.uk

If you have any changes that cannot be described easily in an email, please mark them clearly on the proof and email a scan of the changes by replying to the eProof email or by fax: +44 (0)8700 515632.

# **PLEASE RESPOND WITHIN 48 HOURS**

## MAILBOX

# Evaluation of globe position within the orbit: clinical and imaging correlations

Significant variations have been reported concerning the size of the orbit and eyeball, and the position of the latter in the former.<sup>1</sup> Hertel exophthalmometry has inherent deficiencies, including the fact that the lateral orbital rim (on which it relies as a reference point) varies among individuals.<sup>2</sup> Previous studies have evaluated the position of the globe within the orbit and its correlations with MRI.3 We have also used a 1.5 T MRI scanner in a series of 32 adult patients (19 males, 59.3%) aged 56.22 (SD 13.80) (36 to 80) years, without any previous history of orbital surgery, inflammation of trauma, to measure the volumes of ocular and orbital structures and the distance of the eyeball 1 poles from respective orbital landmarks. We aimed to examine whether intraorbital globe position is affected by orbital or eyeball volume. Images were analysed with the efilm workstation (eFilm Medical, Toronto). The volumes of the orbit, eyeball and extraocular muscles were measured in T11 weighted coronal and sagittal images, as described elsewhere<sup>4</sup> (fig 1). The eyeball volume was subtracted from the orbital cavity volume and the difference recorded as effective orbital volume (EOV), to provide an estimation of the available space in the orbital cavity to accommodate the eyeball. The minimal distance between the superior, inferior, medial, lateral and posterior eyeball poles and respective orbital landmarks was measured in T-1 weighted coronal and sagittal images through the globe equator. The intercanthal distance was measured

along an axis connecting the bony rims of the outer canthi (intercanthal line), whereas the anterior-posterior projection of the globe was evaluated by measuring the vertical distance between the intercanthal line and corneal apex (fig 2). The interglobal distance was evaluated by measuring the distance between the right and left axes vertical to the intercanthal line and passing through the corneal apex (fig 2). The power of the study, evaluated by G\*power (version 3.0 10, Universitat Kiel, Germany) was 0.53 for the Pearson bivariate correlation coefficient given an effect size (r) of 0.3 and  $\alpha$ error of 0.05. EOV was significantly correlated with the orbital volume (Pearson bivariate correlation coefficient 0.65, p = 0. 02), with the intercanthal and interglobal distances (Pearson bivariate correlation coefficient 0.68 and 0.63, p = 0.01 and p = 0.04. respectively) and with the distance between the medial eyeball pole and medial orbital wall (Pearson bivariate correlation coefficient 0.63, p = 0.02) whereas it was inversely correlated with anterior-posterior globe projection (Pearson bivariate correlation coefficient -0.78, p = 0.01). These results agree with previous reports on the linear relation between volume introduction in the orbit and globe protrusion (Hertel curve)<sup>5</sup> and imply that EOV may be used in planning procedures to correct exophthalmos or enophthalmos, such as orbital decompression, orbital fracture repair or in the selection of orbital implant size following enucleation. Nevertheless, the small number of patients recruited in this study implies that the value of this parameter should be further evaluated with larger prospective studies. Although CT provides better bone imaging than MRI, the facts that both soft tissue (eyeball) and osseous margins (bony orbit) were included in the analyses and



**Figure 2** Intercanthal and interglobal distances as well as right and left globe projection (from a line connecting the lateral orbital rims) in a T-2 weighted transverse image through globe equator, as shown in corresponding coronal section (inlet).

that MRI is devoid of radiation hazards imply that the latter is an adequate tool for orbital volumetric calculations presented. Furthermore, the widespread availability and ease of use of MRI scanners imply that imaging parameters, such as EOV which is also easy to calculate, may be more commonly used in clinical decision-making concerning orbital volume changes.

#### E T Detorakis,<sup>1</sup> E E Drakonaki,<sup>2</sup> E Papadaki,<sup>2</sup> M K Tsilimbaris,<sup>1</sup> I G Pallikaris<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Ophthalmology, University Hospital of Heraklion, Crete, Greece; <sup>2</sup>Department of Medical Imaging, University Hospital of Heraklion, Crete, Greece

**Correspondence to:** Dr E T Detorakis, Department of Ophthalmology, University Hospital of Heraklion, 71110 Heraklion, Crete, Greece; detorakis@hotmail.com

### Competing interests: None.

Ethics approval: Ethics approval was provided by Institutional Review Board, University Hospital of Heraklion, Crete, Greece.

Accepted 8 April 2009

*Br J Ophthalmol* 2009;**000**:000. doi:10.1136/bjo.2008.150532

### REFERENCES

- Kim IT, Choi JB. Normal range of exophthalmos value on orbit computerized tomography in Koreans. *Ophthalmologica* 2001;215:156–62.
- Stephan CN. Facial approximation: globe projection guideline falsified by exophthalmometry literature. J Foresn Sci 2002;47:730–5.
- Ozgen A, Aydingoz U. Normative measurements of orbital structures using MRI. J Comput Assist Tomogr 2000;24:493–6.
- Detorakis ET, Engstrom RE, Straatsma BR, et al. Functional anatomy of the anophthalmic socket: insights from magnetic resonance imaging. *Invest* Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2003;44:4307–13.
- Neuschwander TB, Chang EL, Sadun AA. Hertel curve: orbital volume increment and proptosis in a cadaver model. *Ophthal Plast Reconstr Surg* 2005;21:431–4.



Figure 1 Delineated orbit, globe, IR, SR-LP, LR, MR and SO in a T-1 weighted coronal image (A) and delineated IO in a T-1 weighted sagittal image (B).